ED 380 153 JC 950 139

AUTHOR Holton, James M.

TITLE Frederick Community College Transfer Tracking System

and Successful Transfer Survey, Volume II, Fall

1992-Spring 1994.

INSTITUTION Frederick Community Coll., Md.

PUB DATE [94]

NOTE 48p.; For Volume I, see JC 950 138.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Advising; Academic Records; \*Articulation

(Education); \*College Outcomes Assessment; \*College Transfer Students; Community Colleges; Longitudinal

Studies; Participant Satisfaction; \*Program Effectiveness; \*Student Attitudes; Two Year

Colleges

IDENTIFIERS \*Frederick Community College MD; \*Transfer Rates

(College)

#### **ABSTRACT**

The Transfer Tracking System at Maryland's Frederick Community College (FCC) is designed to monitor the effectiveness of the college's transfer function by keeping track of student requests for transcripts to be sent to other institutions. Using this system, a study was undertaken at FCC to determine outcomes for the college's transfer function for 1992-94 by requesting confirmation of enrollment from receiving institutions and surveying students who transferred from the college regarding their experiences. From 1992-93, 2,065 transcripts were sent, while 441 students were confirmed as having successfully transferred. For 1993-94, 2,107 transcripts were sent and 465 students were confirmed as having transferred. For 1994, the transfer rate was calculated at 34.5% based on the number of students indicating intent to transfer, carning at least 12 credits in total unduplicated credit enrollment, and transferring successfully. Finally, averaged findings from annual surveys conducted from 1990-91 to 1993-94 included the following: (1) for all 4 years, the average age of the transfer students was 24.9 years old, 54.4% were female, and 94.2% were White; (2) 49.5% had earmed an associate degree prior to transfer; (3) 96.6% were satisfied or very satisfied with their academic program, 82% expressed satisfaction with academic advising, and 73% expressed satisfaction with specific transfer advising at FCC; (4) 48.7% of the transfers reported losing no credits in transfer and 78% lost fewer than 7 credits; and (5) 1 in 6 reported receiving financial assistance at their new institution based on scholastic achievement at FCC. (Includes data tables and the survey instrument.) (KP)



<sup>\*</sup> Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

<sup>\*</sup> from the original document.

# FREDERICK COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER TRACKING SYSTEM AND SUCCESSFUL TRANSFER SURVEY

# VOLUME II FALL 1992-SPRING 1994

U.S. DEPARTMETT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Basedict and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MAYERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Holten

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

134

35 480

5

#### FREDERICK COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER TRACKING SYSTEM AND SUCCESSFUL TRANSFER SURVEY

**VOLUME II** 

**FALL 1992 - SPRING 1994** 

JAMES M. HOLTON ADMISSIONS/REGISTRATION OFFICE



#### FREDERICK COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER TRACKING SYSTEM AND SUCCESSFUL TRANSFER SURVEY VOLUME II FALL 1992 - SPRING 1994

The Transfer Tracking System and the Successful Transfer Survey are now in their fourth year. The System has tracked over one thousand former FCC students to nearly two hundred different four-year colleges and universities across the United States and even to some foreign countries.

The Successful Transfer Survey has been completed and returned by over five-hundred transfer students. This data has been compiled and distributed on a college, state, and nationwide basis.

We can be assured that there is no community college in the United States that publishes such an extensive and complete profile of the characteristics of its transfer students over time as does Frederick Community College.

The accumulation of data from the System and the Survey has brought into clearer focus the characteristics of our transfer students and enables us to answer some very critical questions about our transfer function.

For example, at FCC we know with a high degree of confidence that:

Three out of four of our transfer students will transfer to 10 colleges:

Hood UMCP Mt. St. Mary's West Virginia Univ. Towson UMBC Salisbury Shepherd Frostburg UMUC

About one out of two will transfer to just four:

Hood

Towson

Shepherd

Frostburg



- About one-half of all of our successful transfer students will have earned the AA degree before transfer.
- The average FCC GPA of the successful transfer student will be approximately 3.10.
- Nearly one out of every six of FCC's successful transfer students will be awarded a scholarship from the four-year school based on academic achievement at FCC and that the average award will be about \$3,000.
- Transfer to "prestigious," highly-selective colleges and universities by FCC students is a rare occurrence.
- Over 95% of FCC's transfer students are satisfied with the academic preparation for transfer they received at FCC and feel that their academic preparation was related to their major at the transfer college.
- Our typical transfer student will accumulate about 50 credits and will spend five semesters at FCC before transferring.
- A little less than one-third of our transfer students will live in college housing their first semester after transfer.
- The most popular majors for FCC transfer students at four-year colleges will be in the departments of Business/Management (28%), Social/Behavioral Sciences (20%), and Education (14%).
- About one-half of all of our transfer students will report losing no credits in transfer and about 80% report losing six or fewer.
- The average age of the FCC transfer student is about 25 and a slight majority are females.



- About 6% of our transfer students are minorities; 3% are African-American.
- There is considerable evidence of gender-grouping by our transfer students into the areas of Education by females and into Engineering/Architectural/Technical/

  Physical/Computer Sciences by males.
- Our transfer rate, or percentage of those students enrolled in a given academic year who have declared their intention to transfer and have accumulated at least twelve credits and actually transferred successfully to a four-year college or university, is 35%.

The charts and analyses that follow are a summary from school years 1992-93 and 1993-94. The Fall 1990 through the Spring 1992 semesters can be found in the first volume of *Assessing the Transfer Function*. Copies of volume one of this publication is available from the Admissions/Registration Office.

#### Results of Confirmed Transfer Activity Fall 1992/Spring 1993

	No. of FCC Transcripts Sent	No. of Cohen Transfers*	No. of Reverse Transfers**	Fall& Spring Total
Primary Study Institutions (All in Maryland except as noted)				
Hood College	160	51	19	70
Towson State University	129	46	12	58
Frostburg State University	131	41	9	50
Univ. of Maryland College Park	112	38	6	44
Shepherd College (W.VA)	133	23	6	29
Univ. of Maryland Balt. Co.	72	21	6	27
Mt. St. Mary's College	44	18	5	23
Salisbury State University	56	16	6	22
Univ. of Maryland Univ. Coll.	46	6	6	12
West Virginia University (W.VA)	31	9	0	9
Capitol College	10	7	0	7
Shippensburg University (PA)	24	3	1	4
Western Maryland College	19	3	1	4
George Mason University (VA)	7	2	1	3
Univ. of Maryland at Baltimore	37	2	1	3
Embry-Riddle (Fla )	5	2	0	2
Radford University (VA)	8	1	1	2 2 2
University of Baltimore	8	2	0	2
Virginia Tech. (VA)	15	2	0	
James Madison University (VA)	10	1	0	1
St. Mary's College of MD	_8	_0	_0	_0
Sub Total	1065	294	80	374
Miscellaneous Institutions	<u>1000</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>67</u>
GRAND TOTAL	2065	348	93	441

<sup>\*</sup> Number of FCC transfers registering at receiving institution who met Cohen transfer criteria.



<sup>\*\*</sup> Number of FCC transfers registering at receiving institution who met Cohen transfer criteria but who attended another college prior to enrolling at FCC.

## Results of Confirmed Transfer Activity Fall 1993/Spring 1994

	No. of FCC Transcripts Sent	No. of Cohen Transfers*	No. of Reverse Transfers**	Fall& Spring Total
Primary Study Institutions (All in Maryland except as noted)				
Hood College	158	41	31	72
Shepherd College (W.VA)	124	49	6	55
Frostburg State University	125	38	8	46
Towson State University	110	35	9	44
Univ. of Maryland Balt. Co.	75	27	6	33
Univ. of Maryland College Park	109	28	3	31
Salisbury State University	68	20	0	20
Mt. St. Mary's College	33	16	2	18
Univ. of Maryland Univ. Coll.	- 39	11	5	16
West Virginia University (W.VA)	35	9	0	9
Shippensburg University (PA)	33	5	1	6
University of Baltimore	11	4	2	6
Univ. of Maryland at Baltimore	49	1	5	6
Capitol College	7	3	0	3
Virginia Tech. (VA)	14	3	0	3
Western Maryland College	15	2	1	3
James Madison University (VA)	15	1	1	2
Embry-Riddle (Fla.)	7	0	0 ·	0
George Mason University (VA)	6	0	0	0
Radford University (VA)	7	0	0	0
St. Mary's College of MD	_5	_0	_0	_0
Sub Total	1045	293	80	373
Miscellaneous Institutions	<u>1062</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>92</u>
GRAND TOTAL	2107	366	99	465

<sup>\*</sup> Number of FCC transfers registering at receiving institution who met Cohen transfer criteria.



<sup>\*\*</sup> Number of FCC transfers registering at receiving institution who met Cohen transfer criteria but who attended another college prior to enrolling at FCC.

	Ranking o	of Top Ten Transfe 1990-1994	r Schools	
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94
1	Hood	Hood	Hood	Hood
2	Frostburg	Towson	Towson	Shepherd
3	Towson	Shepherd	Frostburg	Frostburg
4	UMCP	UMCP	UMCP	Towson
5	Shepherd	UMBC	Shepherd	UMBC
· 6	UMBC	Frostburg	UMBC	UMCP
7	Mt. St. Mary's	Mt. St. Mary's	Salisbury	Salisbury
8	UMUC	Salisbury	Mt. St. Mary's	Mt. St. Mary's
9	Salisbury	UMUC .	UMUC	UMUC
10	WVU	WVU	WVU	WVU

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

HIGHLIGHTS: FREDERICK COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER ACTIVITY STUDY 1992-1993

ERIC

Res. Status	0 RH 7 PR 44*HO	14*RH 9 PR 6 HO	13 RH 3 PR 13 HO	4 RH 11*PR 5 HO	6 RH 1 PR 8 HO	6 RH 1 PR 4 HO	0 RH 0 PR 10 HG	6 RH 5 PR 0 HO	0 RH 1 PR 4 HO	1 RH 3 PR 0 HO	50 RH22% 41 PR18% 139HO60%	
PI/FT Re Ratio	,	2/15	6/9	2/0	1/12	2/10	0/10	0/3	4/0	N/A	50 41 13	
% X €	6.8	4.8	0.9	4.7	4.6	5.0 2.	5.6 (	4.2	5.2	3.6	5.1 2	
8) V ≮	3.36 6	3.13 4	2.91	3.04	3.02	3.20	3.03	2.92	3.14	3.05	3 08	
Minority FC Trans. GP	3 3	2 3	2 2	3	0	2			-	0	15	•
Satis. /Acad. Prog.	96		96	9.5	87	16	100	16	80	100	93.3	
% Satis. % w/Orient. & w Adv.	92	08	93	\$5	73	100	82	82	100	100	85.7	= Home
% % Losing w/C Less. Than 4 cred.	82	62	55	55	20	\$5	100	16	9	100	71.0	= OH
% Not Losing Cred.	\$5	52	27	40	42	27	06	82	09	80	52.5	sidence
Avg. FCC Cred.	54.0	53.7	56.9	9:09	48 2	54.1	60.7	49.6	35.4	37.6	50.1	Private Residence
Avg. Age	30.3	23.4	25.8	22.4	25.0	24.5	23.0	21.5	30.0	21.0	24.7	PR = P
30+	33	4	=	7	ν	9	2	•	\$	0	69	
AGES 19-22 23-30 30+	20	91	11	12	Ŋ	ε,	\$	m	9	,	82	
. 19-2	17	38	28	30	61	18	16	<b>8</b> 2	1	∞	163	e Ha
% Females	71.5	47.0	90.0	54.6	48.0	9:99	43.5	\$0.0	75.0	44.5	55	= Residence Hal
% Males	28.5	53.0	50.0	45.4	52.0	33.3	56.5	90.0	25.0	55.5	45	RH =
% Responding to Survey	77	80	\$8	45	48	42	48	\$0	42	44	50	ategory
No. of Transfers	70	28	90	44	50	27	23	22	12	6	78	*Highest number in category
Knstitutions	Hood	Towson	Frostburg Campus & Hagerstown	JMCP	Shepherd	UMBC	Mt St Mary's	Salisbury	ОМИС	WVU	TOTALS	*Highest n

 $\infty$ 

**4**---

<u> </u>	No Major Indicated	Business/ Management	Engineering/Arch/ Feah. Sci.	Math/Computer Science	Education	Social/Behavioral Science	Rec/PE/Health/ Affed Health	Fine Ar Communication	Natural/Phys. Science	English. Lit./ Journalism	Agriculture/ Animal Science
Ноод	1*	14*	1	5*	*6	10*	2	*9	0	1*	0
Towson	0	12	0	0	4	S	3	S	0	*-	0
Frostburg	0	10	2*	2	4	9	2	3	0	0	0
UMCP	0	5	2*	2	2	2		<b>.</b>		1*	3*
Shepherd	1*	4	0		2	0	5*		-	0	0
UMBC	1*	0	0	0	Ŋ	7	0	0	3*	0	0
Mt. St. Mary's	0	9	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
Salisbury	0	4	0	0	2		2			0	0
UMUC	0	\$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MVU	0	0		0	0	-	1	1	0	0	0
TOTALS	3 1.5%	60 32.5%	3.0%	10 5.5%	26 14%	34 18.5%	16 8.5%	18 10%	3.0%	3 1.5%	3 1.5%

\* Highest number in category

9

# BEST COFY AVAILABLE

10

												a
Res. Status	1 RH 3 PR 28*HO	7 RH 2 PR 10 HO	6 RH 2 PR 13 HO	12*RH 6*PR 7 HO	5 RH 3 PR 10 HO	4 RH 3 PR 3 HO	2 RH 4 PR 1 HO	0 R.H . 0 P.R 12 H.O	0 RH 0 PR 8 HO	n/a RH n/a PR n/a HO	37 RH24% 23 PR15% 92 HO61%	
PT/FT Ratio	3/7	9/0	4/2	8/0	2/4	1/7	1/3	0/3	4/1	11/8	16/35	
Avg. FCC Terms	6.1	5.1	5.9	4.6	5.2	4.8	5.1	4.9	6.8	3.3	5.2	
Avg. FCC GPA	3.29	2.99	3,53	3.04	3.05	3.20	2.76	3.12	3.20	2.94	3.11	
Minority Trans.	Ś	-	2	9	0	4	0	2	0	С	50	
% Satis. w/Acad. Prog.	16	68	90	100	94	80	100	001	87	n/a	9006	
% Satis. w/Orient. & Adv.	94	68	90	79	61	80	98	75	87	n⁄a		) = Home
% Losing Less Than 4	76	52	57	92	44	40	57	58	27	n/a	61.2	HO
% Not Losing Cred.	61	52	33	67	28 .	40	57	42	7.5	D'a	\$0.5	PR = Private Residence
Avg. FCC Cred.	51		51	51	20	51	48	58	42	35	49.2	rivate R
Avg. Age	29.0	23.1	25.6	22.3	24.8	23.6	21.3	25.2	32.7	22.8	25 0	7R = P
30+	28	2	7	S	s	9	0	4	7	-	65	
AGES 19-22 23-30 30+	23	17	81	10	10	8	5	3	6	_	104	
AGES 19-22 23-30 30-	21	36	21	29	18	11	15	11	0	7	271	e Ha
% Females	57	27	24	24	21	10	9	13	80	þ	194	= Residence Hal
% Males	15	18	22	20	12	17	14	\$	∞	\$	140	RIH =
% Responding to Survey	46	54	46	\$\$	55	32	35	99	95	0	43.9	category
No. of Transfers	72	55	46	44	33	31	20	81	91	5	344 74%	*Highest number in category
Institutions	Ноод	Shepherd	Frostburg Campus & Hagers.	Towson	ОМВС	UMCP	Salisbury	Mt. St. Mary's	UMUC	WWU	TOTALS	*Highest r

HIGHLIGHTS: FREDERICK COMMUNITY COLLEGE TRANSFER ACTIVITY STUDY 1993-1994

ERIC \*\*
\*\*Trail Text Provided by EDIC\*\*

o)

Institutions	No Major Indicated	Business/ Management	Engineering/Arch/ Tech. Sci.	Math/Computer Science	Education	Social/Behaviorai Science	Rec/PE/Health/ Allfed Health	Fine Arts/ Communication	Natural/Phys. Scjence	English Lit./ Iournalism	Agriculture/ Anúmal Science
Hood	2*	6	0	0	*8	*!	1	2	2*	2*	0
Shepherd	-	4	0	-	4	2	4*	2	0	0	0
Frostburg	0	12*	0	0	1	5	0	-		0	0
Towson	0	4	2*	2	5	3		*9	2*	0	0
UMBC	-	0	_	3*	1	9	2	-	1	0	0
UMCP	0		2*		2	2	0	0		0	1*
Salisbury	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0		0	0
Mt. St. Mary's	0	3	0	0	9		0	0		-	0
UMUC	0	9	0	0	1	<b>9</b> 1	0	0	0	0	0
MVU	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TOTALS	2.5%	49 31%	5 3.0%	7.4.5%	30 19%	31 19.5%	8 5.0%	12 7.5%	9 5.5%	3 2.0%	1 1%

\* Highest number in category

#### Miscellaneous Group

Among the institutions represented in the Miscellaneous Group with confirmed Cohen and Reverse transfers from 1991 to 1994 were:

Davis and Elkins College

Allegany College Ambassador College The American University Andrews University Antioch College

Arizona State University Armstrong State University

Auburn University

Austin Peay State University

Averett College Ball State University Baylor University Bethany College

Bloomsburg University of PA

Bluefield State College Bob Jones University Bridgewater College

California State University-Long Beach California State University-Northridge

California Coast University California University of PA

Cameron University
Campbell University

Carniege-Mellon University Carson-Newman College

Carthage College

Catholic University of America

Centenary College

Central Connecticut State University

Chaminade University Champlain College Chapman University Cincinnati Bible College Clarion University

Clark College

Clemson University

Coastal Carolina University College of Charleston Colorado State University Columbia Union College

Corcoran School of Art

Delaware State College

Drexel University
Duquesne University
East Carolina University
East Stroudsburg University
East Tennessee State University
Eastern Michigan University

Eastern Nazarine College

Eastern New Mexico University

Elon College

Embry-Riddle University

Emerson College Fairmont State College Fitchburg State College

Flagler College

Florida Institute of Technology

Florida State University

Georgia College

Georgia Southern College

Graceland College Grove City College Hampshire College High Point College Indiana State University Indiana University Indiana University of PA Johns Hopkins University

Johnson & Wales University

Kutztown University

Lee College

Liberty University Life Bible College Livingstone University Louisiana State University

Loyola College Lycoming College Marshall University

Maryland Institute College of Art Massachusettes Maritine Academy



Mercer University

Meredith College

Messiah College

Miami University

Millersville University

Morgan State University

New College of California

New Mexico State University

New York University

Nichols State University

North Carolina A & T State University

North Carolina School of the Arts

North Carolina State University

North Carolina Wesleyan College

North Central Bible College

Northeast Missouri State University

Northeastern University

Northern Arizona University

Northern Illinois University

Northwestern State University

Ohio State University

Ohio University

Oklahoma City University

Pace University

Palomar College

Parks College of St.Louis University

Penn State-Harrisburg

Philadelphia Coll of the Bible

Purdue University

Purdue University at Indianapolis

Queen's College

Ricks College

Rider College

Ringling School of Art & Design

Roanoke College

Robert Morris College

Rutgers University

Salem-Teikyo University

San Diego State University

Santa Clara University

Savannah College of Art and Design

Savannah State College

Shenandoah University

Slippery Rock University

Southeastern Illinois University

Southeastern Louisiana University

Southern College

St. Joseph's University

St. Mary's College of Indiana

Strayer College

SUNY - Brockport

SUNY Regents College

Syracuse University

Temple University

Texas A & M University

Texas Technical University

Texas Women's University

Thomas College

Thomas Edison State College

Trinity College

University of Alabama

University of Alaska-Anchorage

University of Alaska-Fairbanks

University of Canterbury (New Zealand)

University of Central Florida

University of Delaware

University of Evansville

University of Maryland Eastern Shore

University of Miami-Florida

University of Missouri

University of New Hampshire

University of New Orleans

University of North Carolina-Asheville

University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill

University of North Carolina-Charlotte

University of North Carolina-Greensboro

University of North Carolina-Wilmington

University of Oregon

University of Phoenix

University of Pittsburgh-Johnstown

University of S.W. Louisiana

University of South Carolina-Sumter

University of Southern California

University of Southern Mississippi

University of Staten Island

University of Tampa

University of Tennessee

University of Texas-San Antonio

University of Tulsa

University of Virginia

University of Washington

University of Wyoming



Upper Iowa University
Valdasta State College
Valley Forge Christian College
Villanova University
Virginia Commonwealth University
Wayne State University
West Chester University of PA
Western New England College
Western State College
Western Wyoming College
Westminster College
Widener University
Wilson College
York College of PA

#### **DEFINITIONS OF TRANSFER ACTIVITY**

As a result of a survey of the transcripts of those students having transferred successfully, the following nine mutually exclusive categories of transfer activity were identified and described:

- 1. "Cohen Transfers" students enrolling at FCC with no previous college education, earning a minimum of 12 credits, and enrolling at a four-year institution within five years.
- 2. Reverse Transfers students who would qualify as Cohen Transfers but have attended another college previous to enrolling at FCC.
- 3. Native Transfers students enrolled at other colleges enrolling for courses at FCC and having those credits transferred back to their "home" college. This category includes Hood and Mount St. Mary's Exchange students taking classes at FCC.
- 4. SOC Transfers Military personnel taking classes at FCC, having military and other educational experiences evaluated and later transferring to another institution near a new base of assignment.
- 5. Community College and Proprietary Transfers students who transfer from FCC to other two-year institutions for specialized programs or continued lower-division coursework. Some would qualify as Cohen transfers if they had transferred to a four-year college.
- 6. Old Transfers Former FCC students transferring to two- and four-year institutions after more than a five-year absence in enrollment at FCC.
- 7. Open Campus Transfers former FCC Open Campus (12th grade) students transferring their credits to a four-year college prior to their enrollment as freshmen.
- 8. Certification Transfers students enrolling for specific courses at FCC needed for certification (CPA, teaching, graduate school pre-requisites) and having their transcripts sent to graduate schools or certifying agencies.
- 9. Short-time Transfers students who would qualify as Cohen transfers, but who have earned less than 12 credits at FCC.

Transfer categories #1 and #2 (Cohen and Reverse) constitute what is generally regarded as "traditional" transfer activity and form the basis of further statistical analysis of FCC's transfer effectiveness.



#### CALCULATING A TRANSFER RATE FOR FREDERICK COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Frederick Community College has chosen to define its student transfer rate in terms on the following definition:

Number of Transfers = Transfer
Students indicating intent to transfer having earned at least 12 credits
In total unduplicated enrollment = Transfer

This definition divides the number who actually transferred during one academic year by the total number of unduplicated students enrolled during that year indicating intent to transfer and who have earned at least 12 credit hours.

1994 Transfer Rate Calculation

FCC's transfer rate has increased from 30.6% to 34.5% since the initial calculation in 1991. Most probably this increase is the result of a significant shift in the number of students enrolling with the intent to transfer. This indication of intent to prepare for transfer has increased from 26.2% in Fall 1992 to 34.7% in Fall 1994.

\* Percentage of students indicating intent to transfer is higher among those having earned at least 12 credits.



16 22

#### SUCCESSFUL TRANSFER SURVEY

The second phase of the study involved a survey\* mailed to each of the students identified as having transferred successfully to a four-year college or university. To increase response rates, after a five-week period has elapsed from the first mailing, a second mailing was sent to those who had not responded. An average response rate of 47 percent has been maintained over the span of the survey.

·	S	urvey Response Ra 1990 - 1994	nte	
1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
51.2%	51.0%	40.0%	45.8%	47.0%

<sup>\*</sup> A copy of the survey instrument is included in the appendix.



<sup>7</sup> 23

#### SURVEY RESULTS

#### **DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

FCC transfer students do not mirror the distributions by gender, race, and age in the overall student population. Transfer students tend to be younger (24.9 years of age to 28.6\*), more heavily male (45.6% male to 38.2%\*), and less likely to be minority (5.8% to 10.0%\*).

#### Survey Results of Demographic Data

Average	e Age of Transfer	Students	
1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
24.7	24.8	25.2	24.9
		1991-92 1992-93	

		Se	×		
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
Male	37.3%	47.8%	45.9%	43.4%	45.6%
Female	62.7%	52.2%	54.1%	56.6%	54.4%

		bution of Trans	fer Students By	Race	
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
American Indian	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.7%	0.5%
Black	0.6%	2.6%	2.2%	3.5%	2.8%
Asian	1.0%	1.4%	0.5%	1.1%	1.0%
Hispanic	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	1.1%	0.7%
White	96.6%	94.2%	95.6%	^3.0%	94.2%
Other	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%

<sup>\*</sup>Fall 1994 Frederick Community College Student Population Trends



#### ACADEMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND STATUS PRIOR TO TRANSFER

The typical FCC transfer student has completed five academic terms (not counting summer sessions) prior to transfer. However, the average number of credits earned is 49.7 which means most transfer students will have to complete at least five additional semesters after transferring in order to complete the baccalaureate degree.

One-half of all FCC transfer students have earned the associate degree prior to transfer. Since most (55%) transfer students transfer to institutions within the UMS or to other colleges that give preference in transfer evaluation to the associate degree, it is likely that a majority of students will lose very little credit in transfer (this assumption is supported by data presented in a later section).

General Studies, Business Administration, and Teacher Education are the three most popular FCC majors for transfer students. Nearly three-fourths (71%) of transfer students indicate one of these three curricula. Of the more than 50 other degree programs available at FCC, none is chosen by more than 3% of transfer students.

Survey Results of Academic Characteristics and Status Prior to Transfer

A	verage Number of	FCC Terms Compl	eted Before Transf	èr
1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
5.09	5.13	5.23	5.17	5.17

Average F. C GPA of Transfer Students							
1990-91	1990-91 1991-92 1992-93 1993-94 Average						
3.18	3.06	3.12	3.13	3.10			



	Average Number of	FCC Credits Earn	ed Prior to Transfe	<b>x</b>
1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
55.2	48.9	52.2	48.6	49.7

Percen	tage of Transfer Stu	dents Earning an a	AA degree Before T	ransfer
1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
59.8%	50.6%	51.9%	47.3%	49.5%

Most Popular FCC Major of Transfer Students							
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average		
General Studies	35.2%	41.6%	45.2%	42.3%	43.0%		
Business Administration	26.7%	21.7%	22.9%	17.2%	20.5%		
Education	4.0%	6.4%	7.7%	7.7%	7.3%		

(All Others Are Less Than 4%)



#### DEGREE OF SATISFACTION WITH THE TRANSFER PROCESS

Transfer students express high degrees of satisfaction in their academic program at FCC as well as the services provided them to assist in the transfer process. Students are most satisfied with their academic program at FCC with 96.6% reporting that they were satisfied or very satisfied. Eighty-two percent expressed satisfaction with the general academic advising they received while 73% were satisfied with their specific transfer advising. While not all colleges and universities conduct special advising and orientation programs for transfer students, 82% of FCC transfer students report satisfaction with the advising and orientation they received at the transfer school.

Survey Results of Degree of Satisfaction with the Transfer Process

Satisfaction with FCC Academic Program							
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average		
Very Satisfied	52.6%	55.1%	50.5%	50.8%	52.3%		
Satisfied	44.6%	43.2%	44.7%	45.0%	44.3%		
Not Satisfied	2.8%	1.6%	4.8%	4.2%	3.4%		

Satisfaction with FCC Academic Advising						
*1	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average	
Very Satisfied	41.9%	38.2%	35.1%	37.2%	37.0%	
Satisfied	45.3%	42.5%	50.5%	42.9%	45.1%	
Not Satisfied	12.8%	19.3%	14.4%	19.9%	17.9%	



Satisfaction with FCC Transfer Advising							
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average		
Very Satisfied	30.8%	32.9%	20.5%	27.5%	27.6%		
Satisfied	47.9%	34.2%	56.2%	47.8%	45.5%		
Not Satisfied	21.3%	32.9%	23.2%	24.6%	27.0%		

Satisfaction with Orientation/Advising at Transfer School						
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average	
Very Satisfied	27.6%	37.8%	39.0%	35.8%	37.5%	
Satisfied	52.3%	42.3%	46.2%	46.0%	44.7%	
Not Satisfied	20.1%	19.9%	14.8%	18.2%	17.7%	

#### ACADEMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND SATISFACTION AFTER TRANSFER

Nearly one-half (48.7%) of FCC transfer students report losing no credits in transfer and better than three out of four (78%) report losing less than seven. High credit loss most frequently occurs among those students who have accumulated more than 60 credits prior to transfer or those students who have completed non-transfer career or technical associate degree programs.

More than 90% of transfer students indicate that their FCC academic program was directly or somewhat related to their major at the transfer school.

While less than one-third of FCC transfer students reside in on-campus housing their first semester after transfer, nearly one-half (48%) report to living away from home (either on-campus or in private residences off campus).

Seventy-two percent of all transfer students report attending full-time their first semester after transfer. This is in contrast to the full-time/part-time ratio in the general FCC student population where only 38% are full-time.

One in six FCC transfer students report receiving an academic scholarship from the transfer school based on their academic performance at FCC prior to transfer. The average award reported is \$2,902.

Transfer students report a high degree of satisfation with the academic program at the transfer school. On average, 91% report being satisfied or very satisfied during the first semester after transfer.



### Survey Results of Academic Characteristics and Status After Transfer

FCC Program Related to Major at Transfer School							
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average		
Directly	48.0%	49.8%	53.6%	50.3%	51.1%		
Somewhat	44.1%	40.3%	38.4%	39.8%	39.6%		
Not Related	7.9%	9.9%	8.0%	9.9%	9.3%		

Number of Credits Not Accepted in Transfer							
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average		
All Accepted	48.6%	49.0%	48.5%	48.7%	48.7%		
1-3	12.6%	23.0%	17.9%	12.7%	16.5%		
4-6	16.6%	9.2%	14.8%	11.6%	13.1%		
7-12	12.6%	10.5%	13.6%	15.9%	13.2%		
13-20	4.6%	2.5%	2.4%	6.9%	4.1%		
>21	5.1%	5.9%	3.0%	4.2%	4.4%		

Residential Status After Transfer							
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average		
Residence Hall	33.9%	26.9%	31.9%	23.3%	27.2%		
Private Residence (off campus)	17.5%	21.0%	21.8%	20.6%	20.9%		
At Home	48.6%	52.1%	46.5%	56.1%	51.9%		

Enrollment Status After Transfer							
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average		
Full-time	74.6%	71.3%	75.4%	68.4%	72.4%		
Part-time	25.4%	28.7%	24.6%	31.6%	27.6%		



Percentag	ge Reporting Receiv	ing Academic Schol	arship at Transfer	School
1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
14.7%	15.9%	19.3%	13.4%	15.7%

	Average Am	ount of Scholarship	Received	
1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
\$3,254	\$2,935	\$3,611	\$1,950	\$2,902

	Satisfaction	with Academic	Program at Tra	nsfer School	
	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	Average
Very Satisfied	45.7%	50.6%	49.1%	52.4%	50.5%
Satisfied	46.3%	35.8%	45.3%	40.2%	40.3%
Not Satisfied	8.0%	13.6%	5.6%	7.4%	9.2%



One of the questions on the Successful Transfer Survey involves FCC courses that did not transfer. Respondents are asked to list all courses that did not transfer and assign one of seven reasons.

One-half (51%) of all courses reported by students as not transferring to the four-year institution were listed as "not equivalent to course at \_\_\_\_\_ (college/university)." The second most cited reason for courses not transferring was, "not applicable to major" (14%), followed by "grade below C" (8%), and "above credit limit allowable" (6%).

Among the non-career and technical courses listed as not transferring because the course was not equivalent to the course at the transfer college, the most often listed FCC

courses were: MA110

SD100

ED/PS208

EN117

BU203

In some cases, students' reasons for courses not transferring do not match known articulation agreements. Their responses might be the result of incorrect transfer evaluations by the transfer school, incorrect reasons given to students for courses not transferring, or students' inaccurate responses to question.

A summary from the Fall 1992, Spring 1993, Fall 1993, and Spring 1994 surveys follows:



<b>COURSE</b>		
TITLE	<u>REASON</u>	SCHOOL
AG 101	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
AN 101	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
AR 101	Above credit limit allowable	UMBC
AR 104	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
AR 105	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
AR 105	Not equivalent to curse at	Shepherd
AR 106	Not applicable to major	John Hopkins U.
AR 106	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
AR 108	Not applicable to major	John Hopkins U.
AR 109	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
AR 111	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
AR 111	Not equivalent to course at	Ohio State
AR 112	Advanced courses aren't transferrable	Frostburg
AR 204	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
AR 207	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
AR 208	Unknown	Ohio State
BI 100	Developmental	UMCP
BI 100	Not equivalent to course at	Ohio State
BI 100	Other	Shepherd
BI 101	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
BI 105	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
BI 201	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
BI 201	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
BI 201	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
BI 202	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 101	Above credit limit allowable	UMUC
BU 101	Grade below "C"	Shepherd
BU 101	Other	UMUC
BU 101	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
BU 101	Not equivalent to course at	Ohio State
BU 101	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
BU 101	Grade below "C"	Hood
BU 102	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
BU 102	Grade below "C"	Rider College
BU 102	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
BU 102	Above credit limit allowable	UMUC
BU 103	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
BU 103	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
BU 103	Advanced placement	UMBC
BU 103	Not equivalent to course at	Univ of Delaware
BU 107	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
BU 107	Would not transfer	Hood
BU 107	Not equivalent to course at	Hood



BU 107	Not applicable to major	Hood
BU 202	Grade below "C"	Mt. St. Mary's
BU 203	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 203	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
BU 203	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 203	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 211	Above credit limit allowable	UMBC
BU 211	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
BU 212	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
BU 213	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 213	Grade below "C"	Shippensburg
BU 213	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 214	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 223	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
BU 225	Not equivalent to course at	Univ of Delaware
BU 225	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
BU 229	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
BU 230	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
BU 250	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
BU 260	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
CE 101	Advanced placement	UMBC
CE 101	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
CE 102	Advanced placement	UMBC
CE 102	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
CE 201	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
CE 201	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
CE 201	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
CE 202	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
CH 201	Grade below "C"	Liberty University
CIS 204	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
CIS100	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
CIS101	Other	UMUC
CIS101	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
CIS101	Not equivalent to course at	Shippensburg
CIS101	Not applicable to major	Texas A & M
CIS101	AP	Salisbury
CIS101	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
CIS101	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
CIS101	Advanced placement/exam	UMBC
CIS106	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
CIS108	Advanced placement/exam	UMBC
CIS108	Not equivalent to course at	Va. Tech.
CIS108	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
CIS111	Other	Frostburg
CIS111	Other	Frostburg
CIS111A	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg



CIS111A	Software course	Hood
CIS111A	Developmental	Hood
CIS111A	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
CIS111C	Other	Towson
CIS111F	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
CIS120	AP	Salisbury
CIS120	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
CIS203	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
CIS205	Advanced placement/exam	UMBC
CIS206	Not applicable to major	Howard U.
CIS206	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
CIS220	Advanced placement/exam	UMBC
CIS220	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
CIS221	Advanced placement/exam	UMBC
CIS221	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
CIS240	Contesting the Chair of CSM	Shepherd
CJ 110	Other: Advanced Standing	UMCP
CJ 204	Other: Advanced Standing	UMCP
CJ 220	Above credit limit allowable	UB
CM 100	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
CM 100	Not equivalent to course at	Radford
CM 100	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
CM 105	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
CM 105	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
CM 105	Could not use as GOR	Towson
CM 105	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
CM 105	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
CM 105	Other	UMUC
CM 103	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
CM 108	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
CM 109	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
CM 110 CM 110	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
CM 110 CM 112	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
CM 112 CM 112	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
DR 101	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
EC 202	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
EC 202 ED 100	Not equivalent to course at	James Madison
	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
ED 100	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
ED 100	Other	Shepherd
ED 202		Hood
ED 223	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
ED/PS 208	•	Mt St Mary's
ED/PS 208		UMBC
ED/PS208	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
ED/PS208	Other	Shepheru



ED/PS208	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
ED/PS208	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
ED/PS208	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
ED/PS208	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
EG 103	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 104	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 105	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 105	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
EG 111	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 111	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
EG 112	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 113	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 116	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 150	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 201	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 202	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 212	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 212	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
EG 213	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 213	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
EG 215	Not equivalent to course at	U NC-Charlotte
EG 215	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
EG 222	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
EN 052	Developmental	Oklahoma City U
EN 101	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
EN 102	Grade below "C"	Salisbury
EN 102	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
EN 102	Not equivalent to course at	Univ of Delaware
EN 102	Not equivalent to course at	WVU
EN 103	Developmental	West. Maryland
EN 115	Not equivalent to course at	Capito
EN 115	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
EN 117	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
EN 117	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
EN 117	Developmental	Frostburg
EN 117	Developmental	Salistury
EN 117	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
EN 117	Developmental	Capitol
EN 117	Other	Frostburg
EN 117	Not equivalent to course at	NE Missouri State
EN 117	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
EN 117	Developmental	Liberty University
EN 117	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
EN 119	Other	Frostburg
EN 201	Grade below "C"	Hood
EN 204	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd



TON 200	A.1 11 11 11 11 11	Y 41
EN 206	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
EN 212	Not equivalent to course at	Radford
EN 216	Grade below "C"	Hood
EN 216	Not applicable to major	Towson
EN 216	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
EN 218	Other	Frostburg
EN 220	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
EN 224	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
EN 224J	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
EN 225	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
EN050A	Developmental	Oklahoma City U
EN050A	Developmental	Towson
HE 204	Above credit limit allowable	Hood
HE 204	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
HE 204	Above credit limit allowable	UMBC
HI 101	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
HI 101	Above credit limit allowable	UMBC
HI 102	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
HI 102	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
HI 102	Grade below "C"	UMCP
HI 103	Not equivalent to course at	Capitol
HI 202	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
HI 202	Not applicable to major	Millersville Univ.
HI 204	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
HS 101	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
HS 203	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
HS 203	Not equivalent to course at	Salisbury
HS/CM102	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
HS/CM102	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
HS/CM102	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
ID 001	Developmental	UMCP
ITR103	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
LF 101	Grade below "C"	Mt. St. Mary's
LG 201	Not applicable to major	Capitol
LS 101	Not equivalent to course at	Ohio State
MA 050	Developmental	UMBC
MA 050	Developmental	UMBC
MA 050	Developmental	Hood
MA 050	Developmental	Purdue Univ.
MA 051	· Developmental	Towson
MA 051	Developmental	UMBC
MA 051	Developmental	Purdue Univ.
MA 103	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
MA 105	Not equivalent to course at	Western Md
MA 105	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
MA 105	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
1,771 100	That additions to consider as:	



MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MA 110	Not applicable to major	UMCP
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
MA 110	Not credited/pre-req. for Calc.	UMCP
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MA 110	Other - No applications	UMCP
MA 110	Developmental	Towson
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
MA 110	Equals one half of MA 115 at	UMCP
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Shippensburg
MA 110	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
MA 110	Do not accept self-paced courses	NE Missouri State
MA 110	Not applicable to major	Capitol
MA 110	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
MA 110	Developmental	Towson
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MA 110	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MA 110	Grade below "C"	Frostburg
MA 110	Other	Towson
MA 110	Developmental	UMCP
MA 111	Grade below "C"	UMUC
MA 111	Grade below "C"	Hood
MA 111	Above credit limit allowable	Shepherd
MA 111	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
MA 111	Grade below "C"	UMCP
MA 115	Not credited/pre-req. for Calc.	UMCP
MA 206	Not equivalent to course at	Univ. of Illinois
MA 206	Not equivalent to course at	Drexel Univ.
MA 206	Grade below "C"	UMCP
MA 210	Not equivalent to course at	Univ. of Illinois
MA 211	Not equivalent to course at	Univ. of Illinois
MA 212	Not equivalent to course at	Univ. of Illinois
MU 101	Grade below "C"	UMCP
MU 101	Not equivalent to major	Shepherd
MU 104	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MU 105	Not equivalent to course at	Towson
MU 119	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
MU 120	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
MU 219	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
MU 220	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 101	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 103	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
	0.4	



OT 103	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
OT 103	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 103	AP	Salisbury
OT 103	Not applicable to major	UMUC
OT 103	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 104	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 104	They do not have this program	Hood
OT 104	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 104	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 104	Not applicable to major	UMUC
OT 107	Not equivalent to major	UMBC
OT 107	They do not have this program	Hood
OT 107	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 107	Not equivalent to course at	NE Missouri State
OT 110	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
OT 204	They do not have this program	Hood
OT 206	They do not have this program	Hood
PC 107	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
PC 107	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
PE 114	Above credit limit allowable	Millersville Univ.
PE 114	Not applicable to major	Capitol
PE 114	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
PE 114	?	UMBC
PE 123	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
PE 131	Not equivalent to course at	Frostburg
PE 131	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
PE 131	FSU wants you to take their PE	Frostburg
PE 154	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
PE 154	Above credit limit allowable	UMBC
PE 154	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
PE 154	Was 3 credits-would only accept 1	Hood
PE 154	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
PE 161	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
PE 166	Not applicable to major	Capitol
PE 169	FSU wants you to take their PE	Frostburg
PE 173	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
PE 175	Not applicable to major	Frostburg
PH 206	Not applicable to major	Capitol
PI 104	Not applicable to major	Mt. St. Mary's
PM 205	Not applicable to major	Shippensburg
PM 211	Not applicable to major	Shippensburg
PM 211	Not applicable to major	Shippensburg
PM 212 PM 213	Not applicable to major	Shippensburg
PM 213 PS 101	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
PS 101 PS 104	Not applicable to major  Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
	<u>-</u>	Mt. St. Mary's
PS 202	Not applicable to major	IVIL. DE. IVIALY S



PS 206	Above credit limit allowable	Frostburg
PX 101	Above credit limit allowable	Hood
PX 101	Not equivalent to course at	Shepherd
PX 200	Not applicable to major	Capitol
PX 201	Not applicable to major	Capitol
PY 201	Not equivalent to course at	Univ. of Illinois
PY 202	Not applicable to major	Howard U.
RT 101	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
RT 102	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
RT 103	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
SD 100	Not applicable to major	Shepherd
SD 100	Not equivalent to course at	Shippensburg
SD 100	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
SD 100	Not equivalent to course at	UMBC
SD 100	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
SD 100	Not equivalent to course at	Radford
SD 100	Developmental	Towson
SD 100	Grade below "C"	Mt. St. Mary's
SD 100	Not equivalent to course at	UMCP
SO 101	Above credit limit allowable	UMBC
SO 101	Not applicable to major	Mt. St. Mary's
SO 201	Grade below "C"	Hood
SO 201	Not equivalent to course at	Hood
SS 101	Not applicable to major	Hood
SS 103	Not equivalent to course at	Hood



Students are also asked to provide any written comments about their transfer experience. Approximately 60% of all respondents provided written comments. Because the "Comments" section contains information that has the potential for personal identification of respondents, it has been deleted from this portion of the report. However, this section has been extremely useful in the internal evaluation of curricula and services. A summary of written comments are provided in a separate appendix available to staff.





#### **SUMMARY**

Both the rate and the total number of students who transfer from Frederick Community College to four-year colleges and universities have increased dramatically since 1990. While overall enrollment at the college has increased only 2.5% during the period of time the Transfer Tracking System has been in effect, the number of successful transfer students has increased 34%.

This increase is partially explained by the growth in the percentage of students enrolling at FCC over the same period indicating that "Preparation for Transfer to a Four-year College" was their primary reason for attending. From 1991 to 1994 this reported reason for attending has increased from 26.2% to 34.7%, a +19% change.

The transfer rate calculation tells us that in the current year more than one-third of all students enrolled having earned at least twelve credits and indicating "Preparation for Transfer" as their main reason for attending will successfully transfer to a four-year college or university. Within this population, there will be many students having earned at least twelve credits who will postpone transfer until they have accumulated a great many more credits. The typical FCC transfer student does not transfer until completing at least five semesters and having earned at least fifty credits.

Research in the field of academic achievement and degree attainment by transfer students has consistently identified two variables as explaining the overwhelming majority of the variance in success rates of transfer students at four-year colleges and universities.

Community college grade point average and total number of credits earned are positively associated with higher persistence and baccalaureate degree completion rates.



A survey of the characteristics of FCC transfer students indicates a relatively high number of average credits transferred (50) and average GPA (3.10). In addition, nearly one-half of all FCC transfer students have earned the associate degree prior to transfer. These factors would indicate that FCC transfer students have a high probability of achieving academic success and baccalaureate degree attainment after transfer.

The Transfer Tracking System enables us to accurately quantify FCC's contribution to baccalaureate degree attainment by citizens within our service area. While the tracking system within the UMS follows students from the eighteen community colleges to the eleven UMS institutions, we now know that transfer to UMS institutions accounts for less than 45% of all four-year college transfer activity by FCC students. In fact, among our top ten transfer institutions, two are out-of-state and two are in-state private colleges. If we were to depend solely on the UMS tracking system to evaluate the effectiveness of our transfer function, we would be overlooking more than one-half of our total transfer activity.

As identified in the first transfer report (1992), the issue of credit loss by transfer students is more clearly in focus at FCC than it may be at other community colleges. For example, nearly one-half (48.7%) of FCC transfer students report losing no credits in transfer and 78% report losing less than seven. Of those students reporting losing more than seven credits in transfer, two-thirds earned more than 61 credits prior to transfer (60 credits being the generally accepted maximum standard). In those rare instances when students report losing more than 13 credits (8.5%), the majority of cases involve students attempting to transfer after earning career or technical, non-transfer associate degree programs.

This study does not, however, conclude that they are minimal problems in the transfer of individual courses. Students frequently cite problems in the transfer evaluation process



involving courses that run counter to known articulation agreements. It would appear that independent and arbitrary judgements on the transferability of cours from community colleges continue to be made by four-year colleges and universities that violate published transfer agreements.

In addition, there are courses within the FCC curriculum that continue to cause students transfer difficulty even though these courses are not recommended for transfer to specific four-year colleges by FCC advisors. In most cases, these "problem" courses are required pre-requisites for other transferable FCC courses or are required courses in FCC associate degree programs. In most cases, these "problem" courses fulfill FCC General Education requirements.

While most students express high levels of satisfaction with the various aspects of the transfer process and the academic programs at both FCC and the transfer school, one area is worthy of mention. Over the course of the study "Satisfaction With FCC Transfer Advising" has consistently received the lowest overall satisfaction rate. More importantly, the "Not Satisfied" response has equaled the "Very Satisfied" response. This response occurs even though the issue of credit loss among FCC transfer students is relatively minor and rarely mentioned in the "Comments" section. In fact, there is no significant correlation between those with high credit loss and those responding "Not Satisfied" with transfer advising.

The Academic Advising Taskforce of the System for Student Success has concluded that there remain significant gaps in the transfer advising skills and knowledge among faculty advisors. Even with greater access to advising manuals, transfer agreements, and the computerized UMS course and program articulation system (ARTSYS), many advisors are not confident in their course advising skills when it comes to transfer students. Transfer advising seems to be an activity approached with anxiety by many advisors because of



perceived personal "repercussions" if a particular course does not transfer. Many advisors defer to campus "experts" (usually Student Development counselors) when difficult transfer advising questions arise.

The Successful Transfer Survey does not imply that the variability in faculty transfer advising confidence is related to a lack of satisfaction in transfer advising by transfer students. In fact, in the "Comments" section of the Survey, many students take time to point out particular advisors as being extremely helpful and precise in their transfer advice. There may be other reasons for this response. This condition described above is offered as one possible explanation.

There is some evidence that the occurrence of successful transfer by FCC minority students, especially African-American students, is increasing. Some of this increase can be explained by the dramatic increase in the total number of African-American students in the FCC population. African-American students now comprise 5.6% of the total FCC population, up from 4.1% at the start of the study. However, the percentage of successful African-American FCC transfer students (2.8%) averages one-half of the percentage of African-American students in the total student population.

It is not surprising, then, that one of the major goals of the college's new support unit for African-American students (STA-ART), is to increase the transfer rate among this target population. We will able to track the effectiveness of this goal through further Transfer Tracking System reports.



# FREDERICK COMMUNITY COLLEGE SUCCESSFUL TRANSFER SURVEY

Frederick Community College is conducting a follow-up survey of those students transferring to your college from FCC. Please take a moment to respond to these questions and then return the survey in the postage-paid envelope provided.

Your responses will help FCC evaluate its instructional and student service programs designed to prepare students for transfer.

Chec	ek one answer for each question.
1.	What is your enrollment status during your first semester of enrollment at your college?
	1. Part-time 2. Fuli-time
2.	Indicate your overall grade point average at the time you transferred from FCC.
	1. Less than 2.0 2. 2.0 - 2.4 3. 2.5 - 2.9 4. 3.0 - 3.4 5. 3.5 and over
3.	To what extent was your curriculum program at FCC related to your major at your college?
	1. Directly related 2. Somewhat related 3. Not related
4.	How satisfied are you with the academic preparation for transfer you received at FCC?
	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied 3. Unsatisfied
5.	How satisfied were you with the academic advising you received while a student at FCC?
	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied 3. Unsatisfied
6.	How satisfied were you with the specific transfer advising you received while a student at FCC
	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied 3. Unsatisfied



7.	How many credit hours did you earn at FCC?
	(please write in a specific number)
*Tł	te following questions relate to the institution in which you are now enrolled.
8.	How many credit hours (if any) were not accepted in transfer at your college?
	<ul> <li>1. All credits accepted</li> <li>2. Lost 1 - 3 credit hours</li> <li>3. Lost 4 - 6 credit hours</li> <li>4. Lost 7 - 12 credit hours</li> <li>5. Lost 13 - 20 credit hours</li> <li>6. Lost more than 21 credit hours</li> </ul>
9.	Of the courses that were not accepted in transfer, please list below the course title(s) and the reason(s), if known.
	Course Title(s) Reasons (use number from list below)
	Reasons
	<ul> <li>1 - Grade below "C"</li> <li>2 - Developmental</li> <li>3 - Not equivalent to course at your college</li> <li>4 - Not applicable to major</li> <li>5 - Above credit limit allowable</li> <li>6 - Other (please list)</li> </ul>
10	How satisfied were you with the orientation and advising you received upon enrollment at your college?
	<ul> <li>1. Very satisfied</li> <li>2. Satisfied</li> <li>3. Unsatisfied</li> </ul>
1	1. How satisfied are you with the academic program at your college?
	1. Very satisfied 2. Satisfied 3. Unsatisfied 2



2.	Indicate your current curriculum (major) at your college.
3.	Indicate your residential status at your college.
	<ul> <li>1. Residence hall</li> <li>2. Private residence off-campus (not at home)</li> <li>3. Living at home (commuting)</li> </ul>
14.	Did you receive any financial assistance (scholarships) from your college based on your scholastic achievement at FCC?
	1. Yes 2. No
	If yes, please give approximate amount of award \$
15.	Please write any comments or suggestions you might have concerning your experience at FCC as it relates to your transfer to your college.
	·
mai	Thank you very much for your prompt attention and careful response to this questionnaire. No dat be released that specifically identifies any respondent. We are asking for your name in order tach your survey to those we mailed so that we can calculate a response rate. All identifyin ormation will be removed from the surveys once they have been tabulated.
	Name
	Today's date

